International Journal of Novel Research in Computer Science and Software Engineering Vol. 6, Issue 2, pp: (14-23), Month: May - August 2019, Available at: <u>www.noveltyjournals.com</u>

Fuzzy Expert System Generalized Model for Medical Applications

Osée Muhindo MASIVI

osmasivi@gmail.com.omasivi@ru.edu.zm

Abstract: In last two and half decades an exponential growth of medical fuzzy expert systems has been observed. Unfortunately, these systems address specific form of medical and health problem. Hence, resulted differentiated models are application dependent and suffer from lack of adaptability. To solve this problem, this research proposes a generalized model encompassing features in specialized existing fuzzy systems. To come up with the model, this research uses generalization modeling by design in which the major components of differentiated the system are identified and used as the components of the general model. The prototype shows that the proposed model allows medical experts to define fuzzy variables (rules base) for any medical application and users to enter symptoms (facts base) and ask their medical conditions from the designed generalized core inference engine. Further research may include adding more composition condition, more combining techniques and more tests in several environment to test its precision, sensitivity and specificity.

Keywords: Fuzzy Logic, Expert System, Generalized Model, Medical Applications.

I. INTRODUCTION

Real world phenomena are described in linguistic terms such as "hot" or "heavy" with quantification qualifiers like very, slightly, not, much, etc. To handle such phenomena, computer applications have to migrate from classical "no-membership / full membership" variables to fuzzy variables [1] [2]. Due to many advantages of such a higher linguistic system, fuzzy logic application areas have spread in aerospace, automotive and marine transportation areas; in business, financial and commercial analysis; in defense and security; in electronics, manufacturing and industrial control sectors; pattern Recognition and Classification, in psychology and medicine; and in much more sectors [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11].

The interest of various researchers for the development of fuzzy applications in the medical field has been accelerated [14] [15] in last two and half decade. In [12] and [13] authors reveal that the trend of research in medical fuzzy expert systems s shows an exponential growth.

Unfortunately, the focus has been put on specific medical applications. In fact, since each of these fuzzy applications addresses specific form of medical or health problem (disease), they have been observed to lack of adaptability and to be application dependent. This is a handicap for medical system development transfer from computer scientists to medical experts because up to now every medical fuzzy system is a special case. With these problems in view, there is need for more application independent general and intelligent systems to be used directly by medical expert. The aim of this research is to design such system model that will encompass all features in specialized existing fuzzy systems.

In order to achieve this aim, the following objectives will be reached. They are (i) gather a good number of differentiated medical fuzzy system models by a comprehensive medical expert system survey, (ii) design meta knowledge base ruler for a medical generalized fuzzy system including a rule base meta-model and a fact base meta-model, (iii) provide a prototype which allows medical experts to define fuzzy variables and facts for any medical application and run them over the generalized core inference engine.

Vol. 6, Issue 2, pp: (14-23), Month: May - August 2019, Available at: www.noveltyjournals.com

II. RESEARCH BACKGROUND

Several works have been conducted in times past with respect to developing medical fuzzy systems for diagnosis and predictive-related informatics applications. Fuzzy set theory and fuzzy logic were proposed for the first time by Zadeh to manage imprecise and vague knowledge in the sixties [1] [3] with the ambition to provide interaction of natural language and numerical models [16]. Since then a number of fuzzy system models have been proposed. In medical applications, we record succesfull fuzzy systems ranging from AAPHelp , INTERNIST and MYCIN in seventies [17] [18] [19] [20] to nowadays sophisticated systems [12] [13] [21] [22].

Fuzzy logic has penetrated almost all medical applications [23]. One major reason is that medical, biomedical, and health sector deal with imprecise, approximate and vague knowledge in which linguistic variables have partial truth that ranges in degree between completely true and completely false. Hence, fuzzy logic is used in these fields for three main raisons. Firstly it defines inexact medical entities as fuzzy sets. Secondly, it provides a linguistic approach with an excellent approximation. Finally, fuzzy logic offers reasoning methods capable of drawing medical inferences [3].

Existing medical artificial intelligence programs simulate the manner of expert and it is designed and developed in such a way that patient can use it himself. Based on symbolic models of disease entities and their relationship to patient factors and clinical manifestations, they are found in all the phases in clinical care, such as diagnosis before therapy, or prevention of disease before onset of disease, or rehabilitation of the patient after therapy [23]. A close look at these models shows that each one addresses specific form of medical problem. Beside possible similarities, none of this system can be adapted to quickly feet the need of another system. Any other fuzzy medical system must restart from scratch and have its own and independent knowledge base. This paper solves this problem by using generalized fuzzy expert system and set theories to come up with a fuzzy medical generalized model.

III. RESEARCH METHODOLOGY

This research focus on generalization modeling because enough differentiated models have been proposed and it is now possible to observe the trends in these models and propose general models. The method uses those differentiated (produced by Clarification modeling, and Differentiation modeling) to build general and generic models in the maturity stage. The approach used is this research is "modeling by design" in which the major components of the system are identified and used as the components of the general model. [24].

In terms of modeling techniques, the research uses the fuzzy logic three steps technique [25]: system input/output fuzzy quantification, system input and output linking (input composition and rules combination) and output defuzzification. During fuzzy quantification, we have used triangular and trapezoidal Membership function for fuzzy sets. Linear as opposed to curves membership parametric functions have been used due to their simplicity and efficiency with respect to compatibility [3].

Since medical systems are multiple input systems, the model in this research defines an IF Fuzzy Rule Base relational Nx3 matrix to contain the system's N rules defined by their codes, conditions and their consequences. Each rule derives a membership degree computed from inputs in its condition. When inputs in the rule condition are linked by logical operators the membership degree is computed by a composition function depending on operator meaning. Rule consequence is an output set element computed from the condition input degree of membership by the defuzzification function.

Likewise, when more than one rule from the Rule Base are likely infer the output with different output values, maximum technique is used for being simple with better performance in terms of continuity and computer complexity [26] [27].

IV. RESEARCH RESULTS

IV.1. Differentiated medical fuzzy system models

The survey done by Metaxiotis and Samouilidis [23], by Patel and colleagues [12], by Mishraand and Prakash [15] and by Nath and Prasenjit [17] associated to our own survey [13] [1] [20] [3] [3] [26] [19] [28] [16] [14] show that fuzzy logic application in medicine has gone through development stage and has produced differentiated models. The findings is that all of the have the following in common: (a) symptoms identification, (b) symptoms fuzzy sets definition (Fuzzification),

Vol. 6, Issue 2, pp: (14-23), Month: May - August 2019, Available at: www.noveltyjournals.com

(c) rule base definition, (d) output defuzzification, (e) fact establishment and (f) system test. Based on these functionalities, Fig. 1 sketches the Architectural design of the proposed system in which the particularities for all specific systems are addressed.



Fig.1. General medical fuzzy system Architectural design

IV.2. Input and Output Fuzzification

Any health concern is characterized by directly observable symptoms: a series of clinical and para-clinical observations. These symptoms are usually the deviation of the observations from their normal state (value) and expressed by affirmations like hot, dark, dirty, deep, etc. These expressions are sets to which a person (or any entity) with a specific characteristic value belongs to at some extent (degree). More formally, a medical phenomenon is a set of characteristic values called the reference (Crisp) set (X={x₁, x₂, ..., x_n}) and a fuzzy subset A of affirmations on X defined by a membership function $\mu A(x)$, which assigns any x ϵ X to a value in the interval of real numbers between 0 and 1 (0 means no-membership and 1 full membership). $\mu A(x)$ represents the extent to which x can be considered as an element of X. Hence, the following parameters have been included in the model [27] to formalize every manifestation (called Field in this research) within a medical phenomenon:

- 1. Input Field and its measurement instrument;
- 2. Fuzzy Sets for each Input Field (called Symptoms in this research);
- 3. Fuzzy Set (symptoms) respective threshold values (called class boundaries):
 - a. Full phenomenon values (F1,F2) above which the membership degree is 1;
 - b. Empty phenomenon values (E1,E2] under which the membership degree is 0;

4. The fuzzy membership trapezoidal function computed by Function 1 (Fig.2). When F1=F2, E1=2 or F2=E2= ∞ , the membership function becomes triangular.



Fig.2. Membership trapezoidal function



Vol. 6, Issue 2, pp: (14-23), Month: May - August 2019, Available at: www.noveltyjournals.com

$$\mu(x, E1, E2, F1, F2) = \begin{cases} 1 : WHEN \ x \epsilon] - \infty, F1] \ AND \ F1 < E1 \\ 1 : WHEN \ x \epsilon] \ F1 \ , \ F2 \] \ AND \ F1 > E1 \\ 1 : WHEN \ x \epsilon] \ F2 \ , +\infty] \ AND \ F1 < E1 \ AND \ E2 > E1 \\ 1 : WHEN \ x \epsilon] \ E2 \ , +\infty] \ AND \ F1 > E1 \ AND \ F2 = F1 \\ \frac{x - E1}{F1 - E1} : WHEN \ x \epsilon] \ E1, F1] \ AND \ F1 > E1 \\ \frac{E2 - x}{E2 - F2} : WHEN \ x \epsilon] \ F2, E2] \ AND \ F1 > E1 \\ 1 - \frac{x - F1}{E1 - F1} : WHEN \ x \epsilon] \ F2, E2] \ AND \ F1 > E1 \\ 1 - \frac{F2 - x}{F2 - E2} : WHEN \ x \epsilon] \ F2, F2] \ AND \ F1 < E1 \\ 1 - \frac{F2 - x}{F2 - E2} : WHEN \ x \epsilon] \ F1, E1] \ AND \ F1 < E1 \\ 0 : WHEN \ x \epsilon] \ E1, E2 \ AND \ F1 < E1 \\ 0 : WHEN \ x \epsilon] \ E1, E2 \ AND \ F1 < E1 \\ 0 : WHEN \ x \epsilon] \ F2, +\infty] \ AND \ F1 > E1 \ AND \ F2 > F1 \\ 0 : WHEN \ x \epsilon] \ F2, +\infty] \ AND \ F1 > E1 \ AND \ F2 > F1 \\ 0 : WHEN \ x \epsilon] \ F2, +\infty] \ AND \ F1 < E1 \ AND \ F2 > F1 \\ 0 : WHEN \ x \epsilon] \ F2, +\infty] \ AND \ F1 < E1 \ AND \ F2 = E1 \end{cases}$$

Function 1: Input membership fuzzy function

IV.3. Input and output linking

The ultimate objective of a Fuzzy system is to compute output value. To achieve this objective, two steps (Fig.3) were put in contribution. First, after input and output Fuzzification, the fuzzy inference function evaluates the control rules stored in the fuzzy rule base and produce the fuzzy output membership value (Function 2). In practice, medical output is linked to more than one inputs. Two complementary actions are then needed: input membership degree composition (Function 3) and input rules combination processing (Function 4).



Fig.3. Output composition and defuzzification

Second, defuzzification function converts the fuzzy output membership degree from the first step to real crisp values in the linguistic value (Functions 5).

The output fuzzy membership function $f_{link}:[0-1] \rightarrow [0-1]$ [1] finds the output membership degree from the input membership degree. The input-output relation can be either directly proportional or inversely proportional (Function 2).

$$\mu(\mu(x), type) = \begin{cases} \mu(x) \ WHEN \ type = proportional \\ (1 - \mu(x))WHEN \ type = inv. prop \end{cases}$$

Function 2: Output fuzzy membership function

IV.2.1. Input membership degree composition

When medical the input rule has complex conditions in which inputs are linked by logical operators, the following function is used for input membership composition into one membership degree to be used in Function 2 above:

$$f_{composition}(\mu(x_1),\mu(x_2),Op) = \begin{cases} \min(\mu(x_1),\mu(x_2)) W HEN \ op = AND \\ \max(\mu(x_1),\mu(x_2)) W HEN \ op = OR \end{cases}$$

Function 3: Membership degree composition



Vol. 6, Issue 2, pp: (14-23), Month: May - August 2019, Available at: www.noveltyjournals.com

IV.2.2. Input rules combination

Generally, given one input, several input rules might be simultaneously validated and give different output degrees, the following input rules combination function is used to compute the final degree to be used with vty the validity associated with each rule:

```
f_{combination}(\mu(Rule_1), \dots, \mu(Rule_n), vty_1, \dots, vty_n) = \{(\mu(Rule_i)) \text{ WHEN } vty_i = \max(vty_1, \dots, vty_n)\}
```

Function 4: Rules composition function

IV.4. Output Defuzzification

During Defuzzification, membership functions are used to retranslate the fuzzy output membership degree into a crisp value. Function 5 is used to compute the probability of the membership degree 9computed by the link between input and output) to result in an output Fuzzy Sets. This membership degree is.

$$f(\mu(x), E1, F1, F2, E2) = \begin{cases} F1 : WHEN \ \mu(x) \in [1,1] \\ E1 + [(F1 - E1) \times \mu(x)] : WHEN \ \mu(x) \in]0,1[\\ E1 : WHEN \ \mu(A(x) \in [0,0] \end{cases} \end{cases}$$

Function 5: Output membership fuzzy function

V. RESEARCH PROTOTYPE AND TEST RESULTS

V.1. Test Prototype

In order to test and prove the workability of our general model, a prototype was built using SwiProlog, PHP and MySql. SwiProlog was used to build the Rule Base and provided the Inference Engine. It is the core of the proposed Fuzzy Expert System model. Listing 1 shows the last part of the proposed rule base.

% Linking Inpout and Output : 0=prop., 1=inverse prop.

linking(InDeg,Type,OutDeg):-Type=0,OutDeg is InDeg.

linking(InDeg,Type,OutDeg):-Type=1,OutDeg is 1-InDeg.

linking(In1,In2,Op,Type,OutDeg):-composition(In1,In2,Op,Out),linking(Out,Type,OutDeg).

linking(In1,X,E1,E2,F1,F2,Op,Type,OutDeg):-fuzzy(X,E1,E2,F1,F2,F),linking(In1,F,Op,Type,OutDeg).

% Input Composition 0 is AND, 1 is OR,...

composition(In1,In2,Op,Out):-Op=1,In1=<In2,Out is In2.

composition(In1,In2,Op,Out):-Op=1,In1>In2,Out is In1.

composition(In1,In2,Op,Out):-Op=0,In1=<In2,Out is In1.

composition(In1,In2,Op,Out):-Op=0,In1>In2,Out is In2.

% Test

whatDegree(X,E1,E2,F1,F2,F):-fuzzy(X,E1,E2,F1,F2,F), write('Degree for '), write(X), write('is '), write(F), write('%').

deg(X,E1,E2,F1,F2,F):-fuzzy(X,E1,E2,F1,F2,F),write(F).

link Deg(In 1, X, E 1, E 2, F 1, F 2, Op, Type, Out Deg): -linking(In 1, X, E 1, E 2, F 1, F 2, Op, Type, Out Deg), write(Out Deg).

Listing1. SwiProlog part of proposed Rule Base



Vol. 6, Issue 2, pp: (14-23), Month: May - August 2019, Available at: www.noveltyjournals.com

PHP, HTML and CSS were used to build the user interface and the Engine linking the database to the core Fuzzy Expert System model (Listing 2).

<?php

\$sql1="SELECT * FROM `base_regles`,`coditions`, `maladie_symptomes`,`actual_sympto`

WHERE CodeReg=NumReg AND sypCode=CodeSympt AND FieldCode=Field AND CodeReg='".\$_POST['levPlm']."'

ORDER BY CodeReg ASC

LIMIT 0, 100";

while(\$rows=mysql_fetch_array(\$result)){

\$outPrev=shell_exec(\$cmd);

\$outpu = shell_exec(\$cm);

```
echo'<input type="text" name="query2" value="'.$rows['ConsReg'].'--'.$rows['sypCode'].'( '.$curr.') : >>> '.$fuzVar. ': >>> '.$fx.'('.$deg.','.$curr.')='.$deg.'' size="90"/><br>';
```

\$nb++;

}

echo 'Degree to apply at this level of composition for '.\$old.' is : '.\$deg.' (Say : '.\$perc.'%)
';

echo 'Number of symptoms : <input type="text" name="symptNumb" value ="'.\$nb.'''size="2" /> Operation used :<input type="text" name="symptNumb" value ="'.\$myOp.'''size="2" />';

?>

Listing 2. PHP/HTML linking program

MySql was used to hold both metadata to feed the Rule Base and actual data to feed the user Fact Base. Fig. 4 shows part of the proposed MySql database structure.

V.2. Test Data

V.2.1. Test Case presentation

Using a simplified version of the medical example provided in [3] and [28], let us consider the clinical statement that someone can have Ebola Hemorrhagic Fever into three different stages say "No Ebola", 'Medium Ebola" and "Severe Ebola". Three clinical Field have been considered as experimental sample: Body Temperature (Fever), Vomiting and Contact with a person with Ebola Hemorrhagic Fever.

A person is said to have no "No Ebola" he has No Fever and he has No Vomiting and has No Contact condition. He is said to have "Medium Ebola when his condition is Medium Fever, Medium Vomiting and No Contact. Last, someone has a "Severe Ebola" when his condition is Severe Fever, Severe Vomiting and a Suspected Contact.

The question is, what would be the Ebola state of someone which a body temperature of

V.2.2. Test case Rules Base

The following MySql table show respectively Fuzzy sets (a), Rules (b) and Rules condition (c).

International Journal of Novel Research in Computer Science and Software Engineering Vol. 6, Issue 2, pp: (14-23), Month: May - August 2019, Available at: <u>www.noveltyjournals.com</u>

mlCode	FieldCode	sypCode	E1	E2	F1	F2
Malaria		Fievre	36	42	37	37
Malaria		Taux	10	40	20	30
Malaria		Diarhee	10	10	25	25
Ebola	Fievre	No Fever	37	37	36	36
Ebola	Fievre	Med Fever	36	39	37	38
Ebola	Fievre	Severe Fever	38	38	39	39
Ebola	Vomis	No Vomit	1	1	0	0
Ebola	Vomis	Med Vom	0	10	5	5
Ebola	Vomis	Severe Vom	5	5	10	10
Ebola	Contact	No Contact	1	1	0	0
Ebola	Contact	SuspContact	0	0	1	1

(a)

NumReg	ConsReg	OpReg
NonMa	No Ebola	AND
MedMa	Medium Ebola	AND
FortMal	Severe Ebola	AND

(b)

CodeReg	CodeSympt
NonMa	No Contact
NonMa	No Fever
NonMa	No Vomit
MedMa	Med Fever
MedMa	Med Vom
MedMa	No Contact
FortMal	Severe Fever
FortMal	SuspContact
FortMal	Severe Vom

(c)

Fig. 4. Rule Base Database

V.2.3. Test Facts Base

Fig. 5. shows the fact in hand, say actual measurements taken from a particular potential Ebola Hemorrhagic Fever patient A001.

PatCode	MalCode	Field	symptVal
A001	Malaria	Taux	18
A001	Malaria	Diarhee	15
A001	Ebola	Fievre	38.4
A001	Ebola	Vomis	3
A001	Ebola	Contact	0.4

Fig. 5. Facts Base Database



Vol. 6, Issue 2, pp: (14-23), Month: May - August 2019, Available at: www.noveltyjournals.com

V.3. Test Results

Fig. 5 shows some sample results: metamodel definition (a), membership degree per output Set after input sets composition (b) and membership degree for all output Sets after input sets composition and rules combining (c).

pute Degree	Medical Fuzzy Meta Definition	Model
	Input and Output Identifi	ication
	Input/output Fuzzificatio	, m
>> Max(0.4,0.4)=0.4		
x(0.4,0)=0.4	DESEASE/HEALTH DDOE	LEM.
ax(0.4,0.4)=0.4	Ebola	LLM.
> Max(0.6,0.6)=0.6	SYMPTOM NAME	No Fever
0.6,0.6)=0.6	SYMPTOM ABSENT FOR VALUE 1	37
ax(0.6,0.6)=0.6	STHEFTOM ABSENT FOR VALUE 3	37
	SYMPTOM ABSENT FOR VALUE 1	- 36
0)=0	SYMPTOM PRESENT FOR VALUE 2	36
0.6)=0.6	SYMPTOM ACTUAL VALUE :	Enter Value
No Ebola	Save->Next	

(a)

Medical Fuzzy MetaModel Execution

DESEASE/HEALTH PROBLEM:	Malaria	Compute Degree		
Type a desease Level or leave blanc for all :	No Ebola			
FUZZY SYMPTOMS LOGICAL COMPOSITION :	Typ Operator			
Degree to apply at this level of composition for STARTING POINT is : 0 (Say : 0%)				
No EbolaNo Fever(0) : >>> linking(0,38.4,37,37,36,36,1,0,OutDeg): >>> Max(0,0)=0				
No EbolaNo Vomit(0) : >>> linking(0,3,1,1,0,0,1,0,OutDeg): >>> Max(0,0)=0				
No EbolaNo Contact(0.6) : >>> linking(0,0.4,1,1,0,0,1,0,OutDeg): >>> Max(0.6,0.6)=0.6				
Degree to apply at this level of composition for No Ebola is : 0.6 (Say : 60%)				
Number of symptoms : 3 Operation use	ed : OR			

(b)

DESEASE/HEALTH PROBLEM:	Malaria	Compute Degree			
Type a desease Level or leave blanc for all :	Type level				
FUZZY SYMPTOMS LOGICAL COMPOSITION :	Typ Operator				
Degree to apply at this level of composition fo	Degree to apply at this level of composition for STARTING POINT is : 0 (Say : 0%)				
Severe EbolaSevere Fever(0.4) : >>> linki	ng(0,38.4,38,38,39,39	,1,0,OutDeg): >>> Max(0.4,0.4)=0.4			
Severe EbolaSevere Vom(0) : >>> linking(Severe Ebola-Severe Vom(0) : >>> linking(0.4,3,5,5,10,10,1,0,OutDeg): >>> Max(0.4,0)=0.4				
Severe EbolaSuspContact(0.4) : >>> linkin	Severe EbolaSuspContact(0.4) : >>> linking(0.4,0.4,0,0,1,1,1,0,OutDeg): >>> Max(0.4,0.4)=0.4				
Degree to apply at this level of composition fo	Degree to apply at this level of composition for Severe Ebola is : 0.4 (Say : 40%)				
Medium EbolaMed Fever(0.6) : >>> linking(0,38.4,36,39,37,38,1,0,OutDeg): >>> Max(0.6,0.6)=0.6					
Medium EbolaMed Vom(0.6) : >>> linking(0.6,3,0,10,5,5,1,0,OutDeg): >>> Max(0.6,0.6)=0.6					
Medium EbolaNo Contact(0.6) : >>> linking(0.6,0.4,1,1,0,0,1,0,OutDeg): >>> Max(0.6,0.6)=0.6					
Degree to apply at this level of composition for Medium Ebola is : 0.6 (Say : 60%)					
No EbolaNo Fever(0) : >>> linking(0,38.4,37,37,36,36,1,0,OutDeg): >>> Max(0,0)=0					
No EbolaNo Vomit(0) : >>> linking(0,3,1,1,0,0,1,0,OutDeg): >>> Max(0,0)=0					
No EbolaNo Contact(0.6) : >>> linking(0,0.4,1,1,0,0,1,0,OutDeg): >>> Max(0.6,0.6)=0.6					
Degree to apply at this level of composition for No Ebola is : 0.6 (Say : 60%)					
Degree to apply after all rules combining is : 0.6(Say : 60%) for Medium Ebola OR No Ebola					
Number of symptoms : 9 Operation use	d : OR				

(c)

Fig. 6. Generalized model test sample results

VI. CONCLUSION AND DISCUSSIONS

Findings from this research reveal an exponential growth of medical fuzzy expert systems addressing specific form of medical and health problem. In addition, existing differentiated medical fuzzy system models are application dependent and hence suffer from lack adaptability. A generalized medical fuzzy system model to solve this problem.

The proposed generalized model encompasses features in specialized existing fuzzy systems and hence constitutes a solution to this problem. The system test prototype shows that the model allows medical experts to define fuzzy variables (rules base) for any medical application and users to enter symptoms (facts base) and ask their medical conditions from the designed generalized core inference engine.

Vol. 6, Issue 2, pp: (14-23), Month: May - August 2019, Available at: www.noveltyjournals.com

Compared to other application dependent systems, this model reveals itself to be more general, practical and easy to use by medical expert and users. Hence, it creates a new paradigm for future studies of the evolution of medical fuzzy systems. Further research may enrich the model by adding more composition condition operators and more combining techniques such center of sum, center of area, center of area mean of maximum [26], balanced average and the centroid method [27]. Furthermore, the model need to be tested in several environment to test its precision, sensitivity and specificity.

Conflict of Interest Statement

The author works alone and the project is not yet funded, hence declare no conflict of Interest.

Appendix: Demonstration software prototype

The system prototype software associated with this article is freely available at http://alis.muyisa.com/stat.php.

REFERENCES

- F. Bobillo, M. Delgado, J. Gómez-Romero and U. Straccia, "Fuzzy description logics under Gödel semantics," International Journal of Approximate Reasoning, no. 50, p. 494–514, 2009.
- [2] D. Heckerman and E. H. Shortliffe, "From Certainty Factors to Belief Networks," Artificial Intelligence in Medicine | February 1992, pp. , pp. 35-52, 1992.
- [3] B. O. Emokhare and E. M. Igbape, "Fuzzy Logic Based Approach to Early Diagnosis of Ebola Hemorrhagic Fever," in Proceedings of the World Congress on Engineering and Computer Science WCECS 2015, San Francisco, USA, October 21-23, 2015.
- [4] T. Ross, Fuzzy Logic with Engineering Applications, New Mexico: Wiley, 2017.
- [5] C. Lee, "Fuzzy logic in control systems: fuzzy logic controller," IEEE Transactions on Systems, Man, and Cybernetics (Volume: 20, Issue: 2, Mar/Apr 1990), vol. 20, no. 2, 1990.
- [6] K. Tanaka, An Introduction to Fuzzy Logic for Practical Applications, Springer, 1997.
- [7] TutorialsPoint, "Fuzzy Logic Applications," TutorialsPoint. [Online]. [Accessed 6 February 2019].
- [8] Ronald R. Yager, Lotfi A. Zadeh, An Introduction to Fuzzy Logic Applications in Intelligent Systems, New York: Springer Science + Business Media LLC, 1992.
- [9] H. Singh, M. M. Gupta, T. Meitzler, Z.-G. Hou, K. K. Garg, A. M. G. Solo and L. A. Zadeh7, "Real-Life Applications of Fuzzy Logic," Advances in Fuzzy Systems, pp. 1-3, 2013.
- [10] P. Larsen, "Industrial applications of fuzzy logic control," International Journal of Man-Machine Studies, pp. 3-10, 1980.
- [11] B. Singh and A. K. Mishra, "Fuzzy Logic Control System and its Applications," International Research Journal of Engineering and Technology (IRJET), vol. 2, no. 8, pp. 742-746, 2015.
- [12] S. S. Smita, S. Sushil and M. S. Ali, "Design of Fuzzy Expert Systems for Diagnosis of Cardiac Diseases," International Journal of Medical Science and Public Health, vol. 2, no. 1, pp. 56-61, 2013.
- [13] S. Mishraand and M. Prakash, "Study of fuzzy logic in medical data analytics," International Journal of Pure and Applied Mathematics, vol. 119, no. 12, pp. 16321-16342, 2018.
- [14] A. Patel, S. K. Gupta, Q. Rehman and M. K. Verma, "Application of Fuzzy Logic in Biomedical Informatics," Journal of Emerging Trends in Computing and Information Sciences, vol. 4, no. 1, pp. 57-62, 2013.
- [15] H. Ahmadi, M. Gholamzadeh, L. Shahmoradi, M. Nilashi and P. Rashvand, "Diseases Diagnosis Using Fuzzy Logic Methods: A Systematic and Meta-Analysis Review," Computer Methods and Programs in Biomedicine, 2018.
- [16] M. A. M. Reis, N. R. S. Ortega and P. S. P. Silveira, "Fuzzy expert system in the prediction of neonatal resuscitation," Brazilian Journal of Medical and Biological Research, no. 37(5):755-64, June 2004.

International Journal of Novel Research in Computer Science and Software Engineering Vol. 6, Issue 2, pp: (14-23), Month: May - August 2019, Available at: <u>www.noveltyjournals.com</u>

- [17] P. Nath, "AI & Expert System in Medical Field: A study by survey method," March 2015.
- [18] D. G. Bobrow, Ed., Artificial Intelligence in Perspective, London: MIT/Elsevier, 1994.
- [19] A. K. Meena and S. Kumar, "Study and Analysis of MYCIN expert system," International Journal Of Engineering And Computer Science, vol. 4, no. 10, pp. 14861-14865, 10 Oct 2015.
- [20] B. G. Buchanan and E. H. Shortliffe, Eds., Rule-Based Expert Systems: The MYCIN Experiments of the Stanford Heuristic Programming Project, Addison Wesley, 1984.
- [21] J. Liebowitz, "Worldwide Perspectives and Trends in Expert Systems. An Analysis Based on the Three World Congresses on Expert Systems," AI Magazine, vol. 18, no. 2, 1997.
- [22] B. S. Tchindaa, M. Noubomb, D. Tchiotsopa, V. Louis-Dorrc and D. Wolfc, "Towards an automated medical diagnosis system for intestinal parasitosis," Informatics in Medicine Unlocked, vol. 13, p. 101–111, 2018.
- [23] K. S. Metaxiotis and J. E. Samouilidis, "Expert Systems in Medicine: Academic Illusion or Real Power?," Journal of Information Technology Theory and Application (JITTA), vol. 2, no. 1, pp. 19-25, 2000.
- [24] M. S. Olivier, Information Technology Research A Practical Guide for Computer Science and Informatics, Van Schaik, 2009.
- [25] "La logique floue," 12 December 2012. [Online]. Available: http://bmantel.club.fr/pages/logfloue/logfloue02.html. [Accessed 14 January 2019].
- [26] S. Kumar and G. Kaur, "Detection of Heart Diseases using Fuzzy Logic," International Journal of Engineering Trends and Technology (IJETT), vol. 4, no. 6, pp. 2694-2699, June 2013.
- [27] K. K. Oad and X. DeZhi, "A Fuzzy Rule based Approach to Predict Risk Level of Heart," Global Journal of Computer Science and Technology (C) Software & Data Engineering, vol. 14, no. 3, 2014.
- [28] O. Oluwagbemi, F. Oluwagbemi and O. Abimbola, "Ebola fuzzy informatics systems on the diagnosis, prediction and recommendation of appropriate treatments for Ebola virus disease (EVD)," Informatics in Medicine Unlocked, vol. 2, p. 12–37, 2016.
- [29] M. Hussain, "Fuzzy Relations," Blekinge Institute of Technology, Blekinge, May 2010.
- [30] M. Siddique, "Fuzzy Decision Making Using Max-Min Method and Minimization Of Regret Method(MMR)," Blekinge Institute of Technology, Blekinge, June 2009.